



AGENDA

Tuesday, 19 October 2021

Waste Management Committee Meeting

I hereby give notice that an Meeting of Waste Management Committee will be held on:

Date: Tuesday, 19 October 2021

Time: 12:00pm

Location: Council Chambers

Peter Franks
Chief Executive Officer

Order Of Business

1 Members in Attendance 5

2 Apologies/Leave of Absence/Absence on Council Business 5

4 Declaration of Conflicts of Interest 5

8 Corporate and Community Services 5

Nil

9 Infrastructure Services..... 7

9.1 TMS2021-08 Waste Management Services Tender Assessment..... 7

10 Office of the CEO 11

Nil

11 Confidential Reports..... 11

Nil

12 Business without Notice 11

9 Next Meeting of Council 11

1 MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE

2 APOLOGIES/LEAVE OF ABSENCE/ABSENCE ON COUNCIL BUSINESS

3 DECLARATION OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

4 CORPORATE AND COMMUNITY SERVICES

Nil

5 INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES

9.1 TMSC2021-08 WASTE MANAGEMENT SERVICES TENDER ASSESSMENT

Date Prepared: 6 October 2021
Author: Manager Water and Waste
Attachments: 1. Probity Report - Confidential

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to provide Council with an assessment of the tenders received for T-MSC2021-08 Waste Management Services.

RECOMMENDATION

That the Council awards Tender T-MSC2021-08 Waste Management Services to Suez Recycling and Recovery (regional Queensland) Pty Ltd for the amount of \$1,587,627 excluding GST.

BACKGROUND

In April 2021, Council released a public tender to appoint an operator/s to supervise and maintain Council's ten (10) waste facilities located throughout the Mareeba Local Government Area (LGA). The contract was bundled into three (3) separable portions that could be awarded to a single or separate contractors:

- Part A: Mareeba transfer station;
- Part B: Kuranda transfer station; and
- Part C: Minor transfer stations – eight (8) in total.

The Contract is scheduled to begin 1 February 2022, after an extension was granted to the incumbent contractor to operate the sites until a decision could be reached on a preferred contractor.

Tenders closed at 11AM, Tuesday 6 July 2021 and were not subject to a public opening. The tender was advertised and open for a sufficient period to comply with Local Government regulation.

Tenders were received from the following four (4) organisations by close of tender. Three (3) tenderers submitted prices for all Parts of the Contract. While one (1) tenderer only provided a response for Part A and Part B.

The tendered scope of works includes:

Mareeba is the region's major transfer station and has an area used for bulk stockpiling of material and resource recovery. Kuranda is the other major transfer station within the region. The successful contractor will need to supervise this site and in addition also collect a twice weekly yellow recycling bin (240L) service from the Arara Street Recycling Hub within Kuranda.

Council also aims to maximise resource recovery from its supervised minor transfer stations ("Minor Sites"), which include:

- Almaden Transfer Station;
- Chillagoe Transfer Station;

- Dimbulah Transfer Station;
- Irvinebank Transfer Station;
- Julatten Transfer Station;
- Mount Carbine Transfer Station;
- Mount Molloy Transfer Station; and
- Mutchilba Transfer Station

Tender Evaluation

Tenders Received

Four (4) submissions were received. A summary of the tender prices at opening is provided below;

Tenderer	Tendered Price – Year 1 estimate (GST excl)
Suez Recycling and Recovery (regional Queensland) Pty Ltd (Suez)	\$1,587,627 (all Parts)
Outlook (Aust.) Ltd	\$2,164,012 (all Parts)
EVY Entertainment (EVY)	\$1,202,767 (all Parts)
Remondis Australia Pty Ltd and FGF Developments No 1 Pty Ltd: trading as Springmount Waste Management Facility.	\$1,023,159 (Part A & B only)

During the Tender Assessment process, Council officers sought clarification from Evy Entertainment Pty Ltd and SUEZ and undertook further negotiations with the two shortlisted tenderers regarding their submissions.

Tender Assessment

Tenders were assessed in accordance with the evaluation criteria stated in the tender documentation and as provided below;

Criteria	Weighting
Tendered Price	40%
Relevant Experience	18%
Key Personnel Skills and Experience	12%
Tenderer's Resources	12%
Demonstrated Understanding	18%
Total	100%

Each tender was evaluated and scored against the criteria, with the criteria scores then weighted to provide a total weighted score for each submission. Additionally, each tender has been assessed for conformance, compliance and discrepancies, against the requested response schedules.

Tenders were assessed on conformance, price and non-price-based criteria.

The tender price is scored based on the Year 1 price estimate. This is converted to a score using a formula dependent on the tendered price and the median of all conforming tendered prices.

For the assessment of non-price-based criteria, the tenders were scored on a scale between zero (0, unable to be scored) and ten (10, excellent) for each criterion.

The weighting was applied to each criteria's score and a total score for each tender was calculated.

The tender submissions were checked for errors and conformity. None of the tender submissions contained critical errors or non-conformities that would render them unable to be considered. Most departures are considered acceptable.

On completion of the initial evaluation and subsequent clarifications, the evaluation panel determined not to short-list Remondis/FGF or Outlook. In the case of Outlook, this decision was based on the price differential between Outlook and the other tenders. In the case of Remondis the fact that their tender was only for parts A & B and the other two tenderers, who had tendered for all three parts had submitted competitive tenders and having a single contract to manage would be more efficient.

This resulted in short-listing of Suez and EVY to whom the evaluation panel issued several clarifications and had one (1) face-to-face meeting prior to finalising the evaluation assessment. Once this was completed the Assessment panel finalised their evaluation which resulted in Suez Recycling and Recovery being ranked 1 with a score of 9.03 and EVY Entertainment being ranked 2 with a score of 8.23.

Tenderer	Score (100%)	Total Weighted Score	Rank
Suez Recycling and Recovery (regional Queensland) Pty Ltd	90%	9.03	1
EVY Entertainment	82%	8.23	2

Both shortlisted tenderers were invited to make a short presentation to the Committee and arrangements were made on two separate occasions for this to occur. At these the tenders outlined their submissions.

LEGAL/COMPLIANCE/POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Probity

Given one of the Companies tendering is owned and managed by an ex-Councillor it was determined that a Probity Audit should be conducted of this tender process. As such the Independent Chairperson of Councils Audit Committee was engaged to conduct the audit. The audit found no issues with the conduct of this tender process. The confidential report is attached for the Committees reference.

FINANCIAL AND RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

Is the expenditure noted above included in the current budget?

Yes

Operating

Yes

Is the expenditure noted above included in the current budget?

Yes

LINK TO CORPORATE PLAN

Financial Sustainability: A council that continuously looks for savings and opportunities while managing council's assets and reserves to ensure a sustainable future in a cost-effective manner.

Community: An informed and engaged community which supports and encourages effective partnerships to enhance the liveability of the shire.

Governance: Sound decision making based on effective frameworks and clear strategic direction to achieve regulatory compliance while delivering affordable levels of identified services within the Shire.

6 OFFICE OF THE CEO

Nil

7 CONFIDENTIAL REPORTS

Nil

8 BUSINESS WITHOUT NOTICE

9 NEXT MEETING OF COUNCIL